

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KAITLYN M. STOLZE,

Plaintiff,

v.

TUOLUMNE COUNTY JAIL,

Defendant.

No. 2:24-cv-1594 AC P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a county inmate proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In her complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of her civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations took place in Tuolumne County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).

Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. In light of 1996 amendments to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:


1. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis;

1           2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of  
2 California sitting in Fresno; and

3           3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be  
4 filed at:

5                       United States District Court  
6                       Eastern District of California  
7                       2500 Tulare Street  
8                       Fresno, CA 93721

9 DATED: June 12, 2024

10  
11                                         
12                                       ALLISON CLAIRE  
13                                       UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28